

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,354	10/829,354 04/22/2004		Gabriel Danziger	030537-0215	6577
22428	7590	03/21/2005		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AN	ND LAR	DNER	BELL, KENT L		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1661	
				DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

! <u></u>	r						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office A-4' Comment	10/829,354	DANZIGER, GABRIEL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
TI MAN INC DATE A Alia comprisation com	Kent L. Bell	1661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status (100 M/2 2/4/							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on B / Cation filed 4/22/04							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2D) ☑ This	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
, <u> </u>	ammer. Note the attached Office	E ACTION OF TOTAL PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1)	4) T Interview Summan	/ (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

K.S. Bell

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

Objection to the Disclosure

37 CFR 1.163

The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered.

35 USC 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 are

limited. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if

the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall

be in formal terms to the plant shown and described.

The disclosure is objected to under 35 CFR 1.163(a) and under 35 U.S.C. 112, first

paragraph, because the specification presents less than a full, clear, and complete botanical

description of the plant and the characteristics which define same per se and which distinguish the

plant from related known cultivars and antecedents.

More Specifically:

A. Page 1, line 10, Applicant should place "Danlisablue" in single quotation marks as this

is the proper way to set forth a cultivar designation.

B. Page 2, lines 16-21, Applicant should set forth in the specification a brief comparison

between the instant plant and the parental cultivar, 'PTE'. Such need not be in any great detail

but should at least distinguish the two cultivars from each other.

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

C. Page 3, lines 6 and 7, Applicant should delete "The photographic drawing shows a side

view of a 'Danlisalblue' plant." as lines 7-9 of the same page specifically describe each

photographic drawing and the recitation does not specifically set forth which drawing is being

described.

D. Page 3, lines 7-9, Applicant states "'Danlisalblue'". It is not clear if applicant intends

'Danlisalblue' or something else. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

E. Page 4, line 22, Applicant states "70-45". It appears applicant may have meant --45-

70-- or something else. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

F. Page 5, lines 1-6, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information

relative to the instant plant's stems including the typical and observed stem diameter and

internode length.

G. Page 6, lines 14 and 15, Applicant states calyx is "White" at base and "light violet" at

top. Applicant should set forth in the specification a color designation for the "White" and "light

violet" colorations with reference to the employed color chart. The recitations "White" and "light

violet" and vague and insufficient in these instances.

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

H. Page 6, line 19, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information

relative to the instant plant's flower including the typical and observed flower depth.

I. Page 6, line 20 to page 7, line 6, It appears applicant is describing the instant plant's

petals. However, it is uncertain as applicant has inserted this information under the description of

the corolla. If such is accurate, applicant should simply place this information under a subheading

entitled Petal. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

J. Page 6, lines 22 and 23, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional

information relative to the instant plant's petal including the typical and observed shape and base

descriptor.

K. Page 7, lines 13-15, Applicant states the instant plant's sepals are "green and

transparent" "RHS 141C". However, applicant has previously stated the calyx is "White at the

base and light violet at the top." on page 6, lines 14 and 15. These recitations are contradictory as

the calyx is made up of sepals and the colorations should be the same. Correction and/or

clarification is necessary.

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

L. Page 8, line 12, Applicant states "50-100" in quantity. It is not clear what "50-100" is

describing. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

M. Applicant should set forth in the specification the instant plant's hardiness.

N. Applicant sets forth Chart 1 in the specification. However, Chart 1 appears illegible.

In addition, it appears the recitation "Fig 1" is written in the Chart which causes confusion with

the description set forth for the first and second photographic drawings. It is suggested that

applicant delete this chart from the specification and either describe in the specification the

differences in inflorescence and panicle shape descriptively when comparing cultivars or recreate

this chart as an additional drawing and amend the specification to correspond with the additional

drawing.

The above listing may not be complete. Applicants should carefully review the disclosure

and import into same any corrected or additional information which would aid in botanically

identifying and/or distinguishing the cultivar for which United States Plant Patent protection is

sought.

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

Claim Rejection

35 U.S.C. 112, 1st & 2nd Paragraphs

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not being supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant for the reasons set forth in the Objection to the Disclosure Section above.

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Kent L. Bell whose telephone number is (571) 272-0973. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached at (571) 272-0811.

The fax phone number for the group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

K. L. Bell

KENT BELL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kert Bell